



**Executive Board Meeting
Friday, February 5, 2016
Dean College
1:00PM-6:00PM**

A. Call to Order at 1:19 PM

Roll Call: Josh Hettrick, Tim Touchette, Dave Grimes, Emily Schiavoni, Joe Murphy, Shannon Valverde, Matt Lechter, James Sherman

Calling In: Shelly Keniston, John Zocco, Trish Godino Loring, Jay MacDuffie, Brendon Goodridge, Paula Randazza, Brittany Bookman, Michael Guthrie, Stephany George, Jon Conlogue, AJ Goodman, Sonya Alexander, Kohya Lu, Allison O'Donnell, Nate Gordon, Cat Kellman, Kim Beardsley, Jen Gould

Unable to Attend: Thomas Kelley, Heather Quire, Mike Hamilton, DaVaughn Vincent-Bryan, Beth Moriarty, Rene Corderre, Darese Doskal, Danni Perratto,

B. Approve Minutes from October 22, 2015 Executive Board Meeting at Quinnipiac University

Dave: I move to approve the minutes

Matt: I second

Josh: Any questions or concerns?

Entered into record.

C. Unfinished Business

CT/RI District Coordinator Special Election Completed Tim Touchette
The election took nearly two months due to three ballots and the holidays.
Kimberly Beardsley, Assistant Director for Residence Education at University of Connecticut was the winner and will serve for the remainder of this term which concludes in 2017.

E-NY/VT District Coordinator Special Election Completed Tim Touchette
Multiple nominations were received however only one person accepted their nomination.
James Sherman, Assistant Director of Residence Life at SUNY Plattsburgh was the winner and will serve for the remainder of this term which concludes in June 2016.

Annual Conference Jen Gould/Allison Gagne
Exhibitor Rates & Schedule

Copies of the approved fall budget and updated schedule reviewed by the board; looking for approval on exhibitor rates.

Josh: I move to approve exhibitor rates \$600 exhibitors \$480 for corporate associates for the 2016 Annual Conference.

Tim: I second

Discussion:

No difference since last year;

The organization has 9 partners, looking for 10.

We must be concerned with how partners affect the budget for the host committee as they get free booths.

7-0-0 Passes unanimously

Schedule adjusted based on feedback;

Discussion:

Adjust overlapping sessions/meeting; timing between morning wellness (yoga, Zumba, etc.) and business meeting;

Start wellness earlier or make events shorter; extend program committee meeting;

Preconference sessions are TBD and will be an upcharge – Tom Ellett suggests mirroring ACUHO in the preconference to hit topics that we may not cover at our annual; possible topical like social issues, res. Ops, etc.)

Concern over eboard meeting at the same time as preconference.

Eboard meeting for Saturday (Shellyl)...under consideration

Wednesday will be the transition meeting (current to new)

Appointed Board Position Term Limits..... Joshua Hettrick
Member Feedback

Josh: At this point, we have feedback from a variety of members including Past Presidents and feedback gathered from DCs. It's definitely varied. The one thing I'm waiting on is to connect with Dianne directly since she's the member that brought it forward. That's the major to get some direction on before going forward. Support for limiting consecutive terms, strong support for changing term from 1 year to 2 years. Simultaneously raised concern about not allowing President to appoint members to board and make sure there is balanced representation. Majority did suggest that there is an opportunity to be part of board but does feel like a clique that folks can't get into. Largely what we're waiting for at this point.

Website Feedback..... Joshua Hettrick
Jobs Area; Online Forum

Josh: Part of the survey that just went out was a couple questions about the website and what would drive people to the website.

Two things that have gotten positive feedback are jobs area: 55 people completed entire survey. 75% thought jobs area would be positive to get people to website. 60ish said online forum would be positive. List serve would be through website and there would be a weekly digest. Seems to be some back and forth if we wanted to move forward. Steph has already put the work in if it's something that we want to move forward with. Is this something we want to roll forward with or wait until Annual and kick off in the next year?

Discussion:

Wait for annual to have it packaged as a Beta version; table in exhibit hall for job posting. This works like any other forum – advertisers provide listings.

This can be a restricted area of our website or open - our choice.

We could charge for the service if we wanted to diversify our revenue streams.

Consideration for partnering with MAPC, MACUHO, and NESAPC

Regional Affiliate Working Group.....Jon Conlogue
Feedback/Discussion

Jon: Just to give you a 1 minute recap of what we're doing. Regional Association Task force is looking at how regions and ACUHO-I can partner for betterment of profession for next 10 years. Where is the profession going and what do our international and regional associations need to be doing to help us get there. Best practices, competencies, staff training and development, relationships with regions and the association. 1st set of working groups looked at best practices, competencies and internal relationships. One is staff training and development. Wanted to pick brains on key questions: Are we meeting diverse training needs of staff members?

Discussion:

NEXUS was eliminated as were 2 RD2Bs – we are missing particular sectors of our memberships CHOs? We seem to miss the mark with this population too. Is there a benefit for them?

We are new professional heavy; what about 3-4 years in? Are we hitting the mark?

ACUHO-I has many options for everyone but costs could be prohibitive

NEACUHO is like your local store – we can meet your needs for the basics, but if there is something special, members are looking outside of our organization (business officers, operations, etc.)

There are new challenges: sometimes we think NPs are at the RD level, but there are many longer term RDs – where do they fit? Longer than mid, but not with those job responsibilities; we may need to let people self-identify.

Jon: What commonality exists amongst process training and services among regions and ACUHO-I

ACUHO-I offers upper level sessions, high quality/high caliber. Some for New, Mid, and Upper. NEACUHO offers some good regional opportunities to train staff that is cost efficient. Not tons of overlap. If you want to go to ACUHO-I, you may have to use your dollars differently. I can send 1 to ACUHO-I vs multiple to a few NEACUHO events for the same dollar amount.

Seems like a lot of regions are doing RELI's. Much of the country seems to be doing that now.

Jon: Where duplication of effort exists, are there more efficient means for us to offer those services?

Technology can assist with cost and travel; that may help to reduce many individual efforts – like lots of RELIs, for example.

There is a need and a desire to come together as a region – beyond efficiency, it may be helpful to be with others in your region, versus nationally or even internationally. There is value in both.

Collective Bargaining – getting regions together to get better rates (website, go to meeting, etc.)

ACUHO-I has staffing and funding to help with these types of joint initiatives

New Business

Social Issues Committee Name Change Catherine Kellman

Cat: As part of one goal, want to re-brand this committee largely because of name. At Annual, people were confused of what that meant. It does hold historical context to some of our membership but would like to propose name change. My committee is looking to see what other regions call theirs and I hope to have something to bring to April meeting for a vote to propose in preparation for Annual.

Josh and Shelly will add this to the Regional President’s Call to ask that question.

Communication Plan Survey Data..... Sonya Alexander

Sonya: Just to give you a brief synopsis, looks like many people don’t use the list serve and feel inundated with the number of emails. Looking for something more streamlined, still want email communication, someone referred to ACUHO-I’s email summary. Right now, growing consensus is less emails especially from the list serve. The other thing I’d want to know from everyone is are you having difficulty getting feedback from folks if you have sent stuff in or from your own personal email?

Discussion:

Individuals can set up filters to limit as they like.

There is some confusion on reply all and reply to the sender

There are some useful items in the list serve

Sonya: I’m going to talk to committee and see if there are additional questions we have for specific board members.

Corporate Relations Task Force..... Rene Coderre

Task Force Report; Proposed Changes

Rene: The task force that we had is doing pretty well getting things together. Have to give a lot of credit to Tkay and Emily. Basically, what you see is a report of everything that we talked about. We researched some of things other associations partake in, called some of our long time vendors that have expressed input in what we’ve done in the past and what we can do in the future. Tkay did most of the work in putting together the proposal that’s here.

Emily: From Corporate Relations perspective, we have a lot of folks that are interested in partner program and not associates program. That's interesting to me. I like the way that we've broken it down. Looked holistically at what the partnership looks like and what sponsorship looks like.

Rene: We did have some sponsors that were there but we're missing some because there is not a lot of variety of ways they could get involved. A lot of different levels of involvement here with the organization. There are an elite group of people that would be at the top of the ladder but a lot of other ways for those that want to get involved.

The only negative thing unlike MACUHO, the amount of traffic in vendor area is not as big. Need to work on increasing traffic flow. Because of us doing things on a campus, we don't have the same type of venue. Need to look at that and make sure the venue capable of a large traffic flow. We have a lot of New Professionals and they're not interested in vendors and they recognize this. They are afraid of listening to a sales pitch. Need to find a way to get them in so vendors can do some networking so when they do some moving and shaking, they are set to go.

Josh: For the most part, exhibitors are happy with the way things are at. Can you talk briefly about what you're proposing and if they are happy, more about why you are proposing these changes?

Rene: 2 things. We're under-evaluating what we are doing in regards to services to vendors and what we are getting in return. When Corporate Partner was first proposed, highest level was supposed to be 3-4 people, very restrictive, didn't have a lot of levels. Here we increase the number of levels but make the top very restrictive of 2. Other thing was what they were asking for. Vendors wanted lists of our members and who's going to conferences, we're strict about not giving that out in the past, we can do it and not have them inundated with emails due to technology. Making package a little more attractive. Getting the best bang for our buck.

One of the things that came out was us treating our vendors as a member of the organization. That's based on the type of organization and people that we are and having it on campus. More intimate and family oriented; People talked about that.

Other new suggestions: an exhibitor from the corporate program on the board as non-voting member.

Discussion:

Suggestion of reaching out to exhibitors and having them pose questions before hand, may drive people there knowing they aren't going to get a sales pitch and can generate a conversation. Concerns over more exhibitors putting in proposals and changing the make-up of our sessions; this is monitored through the program committee.

Update on numbers: 9 partners; 2 associates; 1 Ed Consultant are paid; 3 ECs ;and 1 Associate in the works.

Price clarification for annual: single booth \$600; double \$1200; the corporate program does cut into revenues on booths is reduced. Increasing revenue from the program will lessen the burden of this reduction.

The options for interested companies is like a menu that can be all encompassing or a la carte to give as many choices as possible

Based on board feedback, there will be a revised proposal for April

Recess until 2:27 PM; Reconvened at 2:35 PM

Corporate Relations Signage..... Emily Schiavoni

Emily: Josh asked me to look into signage from year to year that may be more economical in the future. My budget is \$400, planning on using same signage. Magnet board is \$925 for 72X48, 24X6 magnets. Working with suggestion of using retractable banner stand and to use adhesive glue for a 49 in wide 78.5 height because it's a lot more transportable. To me, sounded reasonable. Complications are if we go with traditional plan and changing next year what do we do. Do we invest in something now or do we wait? We could print nothing now and have corporate signage removable. If it's this big with these breakdowns may need 2. This year would get us though.

Discussion

Costs for exhibitor logos and signage; if plan members pay early, the sign gets used for drive-in, res. Ops. Etc.

Shelly: I move to approve funds of \$575.00 for exhibitor signage.

Joe: I second

8-0-0, Motion carries

Para-Professional Task Force..... Matthew Lechter
Feedback;V.I.P. Program

Matt: We are looking at what undergrads and grads are getting. Currently it's RD2B. Creating something where they can go for knowledge about career choice in Higher Ed, what NEACUHO is and what resources we provide at an early stage in their career. What it's like going to grad school, send emails that RAs could be part of, could only be done if member school. Biggest thing is creating volunteer program. Something that MACUHO already does, established volunteer program into NEACUHO Annual Conference. Volunteering time for mentoring and time for sessions based on them (grad school, interviewing, entry level professional) participating in Annual. What do we think we should be doing and about the volunteer program?

Discussion:

Branding and marketing this would help to get students interested.

Possibly having representatives from each district; a real opportunity for those who want to go into the field to see the inner workings.

Focused, structured; putting best parts of the organization forward

Payment for program: could annual/host pay food and housing? Endowed? Legacy?

CONCERN: annual may not be appropriate for undergrads and may not be welcomed by attendees as the annual is a time for them to renew and network, a time out from their student interactions to focus on themselves personally, professionally, socially. There is also a bit of a "wet culture" at our conferences that should be considered. We need to be thoughtful about this, not just dismissive.

CONCERN: we haven't successfully addressed our current member needs for mid-levels and CHOs. Adding another staffing level will further fragment our focus and stretch our volunteers.

Conflict with independent RA conferences; separate tracks, what about other events we already hold for this population?

Maybe continue our current, add an RA resource page on the web; focus a bit on grad students who are closer to the field than UGs who are really still exploring.

Our annual may drastically change pending on the bids and in what direction we move. Having separate opportunities may be easily accomplished at a conference center versus on campus.

UG could come in before or come in after – there are options to achieve it all.

Difference between total immersion of UG versus 10 specific volunteers; It could be easy to implement with structure and planning; we see it done at other regions.

Josh: The conversation that we’re having right now is feedback for the task force so they will come back in April with an updated vision and the board will revisit it.

Events & Offerings Survey Data Kohya Lu
Technology Discussion

Kohya: I had 2 sets of questions for the board which I think are going to be lengthier so my plan is to email out the questions so folks can take a look and everyone can weigh in. What I wanted to spend some time on is where we are at with the survey. First up is the technology/online learning. Out of respondents, a good chunk have skipped through this area, not sure why that is. Looking at question 13, online learning opportunities and ranking topic areas: Budget, Housing Ops, Staff Supervision on all levels but mostly professional staff, RMLI and Conduct. Do folks want 1 time session or online course learning, folks want 1 time webinar style which leads to Emily’s question of over saturating as a whole. It’s a worthy question to ask. There are a lot of groups that utilize webinars and there is an argument of how good is a webinar and what you are getting. Certificate program, Title IX, Social Justice and identity issues as well as residential curriculum. Some say ACUHO-I has this down and we should look into that. Folks are willing to spend a little more if it could offset software or speaker to come in. 59 answered, 22 skipped the tech questions. Note: Not all questions are required.

For Annual Conference and attendance/registration, top 3 things that drive attendance are time of year, location, and cost of registration. Program selection: Content other than entry level/lower level folks, (not in drop down). Single most important factor, those 3 again. Got feedback on Annual Conference in early June. Went on sliding scale. Convenient in most years 41 respondents: Always – 9, Rarely-11, Never-3. Most said it convenient in most years. In terms of calendar month. June was on top followed by July then October and then November. After that we get into timing, some do, some don’t. In terms of location, we have a mixed response. 22 like college campus over the summer, 8 said yes unless time changes, 12 No’s, 15 indifferent. Conference beneficial on college campus: Still in margin with most folks liking a college campus as it currently is. Cost seems to be biggest factor why folks like it followed by seeing another campus and then staying in a residence hall and seeing features. Some comments in the minority not a fan of it, cost is driving factor of liking where it was and features they can enjoy. 34 respondents: conference different time of year, location 31, attendance and lodging 9. There were questions regarding opinion of not wanting to have it at a hotel, like getting away from campus. Also asked about folks’ cost ceiling if we remained on campus. Biggest response is no more than \$300, then no more than \$200, and no more than \$400. If at conference center, no more than \$300 then \$400, \$500, \$600, not too many for \$200. Folks understand that there would be an increase. That’s where we are currently with our survey data which was sent out by the DCs to districts.

Discussion:

Send out to list serve as this data is going to drive some important decisions.
We have 1200 members, we need many more opinions before we can take a stand on this data
Some discussions happening with corporate partners, this data will be included in the final report
We have lots of people getting lots of data (Rene, Emily, Kohya) we need to look cautiously for repeat or contradictory trends, understanding that we will not please everyone.

2017 Annual Conference Discussion Shelly Keniston

Shelly: Working on slate of programs/conferences line up for next year. Have been hitting a lot of walls. In trying to reach out to folks, a couple pieces of info happened. Josh was on Regional Directors phone call and got info about dates coming up in 2017. RELI will be end of May/beginning of June (May 30-June 2 or somewhere around there) June 6-11 is NHTI, June (week after NEACUHO typically is held) is ACUHO-I in Providence. How do we fit in NEACUHO? We are one of the organizations that run too far behind when it comes to planning. We should be working on 2018 now. Many are 2 years out. We're trying to fit our conference in where it has been. The other thing on my shoulders from the business meeting and talking to members, is getting the conference in a different part of the region. I sent out emails to institution contacts after Tim, Josh and I met. Sent list to members on list serve to talk with supervisors. Then I went to Past Presidents. Asked them the question of what would you do in my situation and for feedback and what's weighing on my mind about not being in NEACUHO and talking with regional leadership with what's happening. Made a joke with Past President's about any advice so that she wasn't the President that didn't have a conference like the year without a Santa Claus. Got about 15-16 responses. Overwhelmingly they said that its time we look to move and look at timing of conference, looking at how we are planning and need to plan much further ahead. Then I got NYU's bid re-submitted. Got 2 Massachusetts schools. AJ in Manhattan College is working on his bid. The issue with NYU and Manhattan College is that both schools are coming at \$400 above conference package. Went to NASPA Region 1 which was about \$400, stayed in a hotel and had a number of amenities that I don't have at NEACUHO. The problem now with no bids and trying to plan - are you attending ACUHO I in Providence or sending staff to NEACUHO for same amount of money when you have the national conference in region? I have been talking to the 3 Ps, but we need some board input and thought. What weighs into this is what Kohya just read (geographic location and cost). Looking for feedback, thoughts and direction on which way to go.

Discussion:

Last year we voted on Westfield vs. NYU but we also talked about running some at a little bit of profit, could subsidize cost to knock it down a little bit. New Professionals turned out a thousand dollars in a profit. We're going to take a hit with Providence ACUHO-I
Many colleges cannot send staff away for multiple days at a different time of year; if we get mostly new professionals, June seems to be an easier time for them to get away; Mid levels and CHOs have more flexibility, but they aren't coming
There is always competition: NHTI, NESAPC, ACUHO, etc. We will find conflict no matter when and cannot make a change on this; we just need to try to minimize.
Cost is an issue – no doubt. State schools are challenged; small schools can't accommodate; having a commitment to moving around the region is restrictive.

CONCERN: with our budget decisions and limited bids, the 2017 conference options are challenging. To make this year feasible, we need \$36000.00 to be rolled over from last year. Besides budget is the prep time concern; to not have a site at this point in the calendar year is troubling.

Possible contract with a group that helps other organizations seek and find conference locations which would lead to a regional conference committee, which would limit the need for one staff to spend 18 months on the 4 day annual.

SUGGESTION: this could be the “test” year without a final decision on the future of the NEACUHO conference. If we have do not bids coming in and no one responding to outreach efforts, there is little other choice.

CONCERN: possible dates: NEACUHO June 14-16; ACE June 17-20; what about our corporate sponsors? What about those who need to be at both for board responsibilities – that is two weeks away. This could impact elections and appointments. Other concerns: orientation staffing, conference staffing, and institutional support for both.

Tim: I Move to allow Shelly to expand conference search process to conference centers to host 2017 conference

Joe: I second

Discussion:

Time of year is a concern; if Shelly needs to move to October, her presidential year would be over, therefore she needs to seek conference centers for during her time in office unless we make other structural/organizational changes.

Sometimes this happens; as we made changes through the year, we adapt; some president elects didn't get to pick sites, some past presidents didn't do awards. It's a challenge, but the organizational needs prevail.

6-0-1; Motion passes

D. Appointed Board Member Reports

Annual ConferenceJen Gould & Allison Gagne

Jen: Working on sponsorship, pretty hard right now, reaching out to corporate folks. Sub committees are at work. Special events in particularly. Productive talks with catering on campus. Ready to get exhibitor rates out as well.

Corporate Relations Committee Emily Schiavoni

Emily: We have 9 Corporate Partners all paid except My Favorite Student and Shrader, 2 Corporate Associates, and 1 Educational Consultant. Talking to 3 other Educational Consultants. Talking to potential Corporate Associates. A lot of folks are not interested in being a Corporate Associate at this time. Working on signage, thank you for that vote. Working on Annual Conference stuff next.

Historian Joseph Murphy

Joe: No updates

Marketing Coordinator..... Michael Hamilton
Not on call

Media & Publications CommitteeJay MacDuffie
Jay: My big announcement, spoke to Josh, got a new position in Florida and starting in mid-February and leaving position. Prepared a plan for remainder of year and reaching out for submissions. Will set new person up for that. Once everything is figured out, will put out call. Hoping for 2-3 more issues before end of year.

Membership Coordinator Nate Gordon
Nate: We had 3 new DCs resign but now we're fully back. Just had a member renew today. 107 members and 1 affiliate. We have 35 small schools, 31 medium, 27 large and 14 extra-large. Working with DCs, mainly new reaching out to those that aren't members now but were. Hoping for 150 by the Annual.

New Professional Development CommitteeBrendon Goodridge
Brendon: For tomorrow's RD2B, we have 86 altogether with everyone. 54 attendees. Spring institutes are underway. Working to get information on website, working on RA resource page, please forward to me if you have anything. Looking to finalize welcome to the region video for the website before the Annual Conference.

Professional Development CommitteeDaVaughn Vincent-Bryan
Not on Call

Program Committee.....Brittany Bookman
Brittany: Pushing program proposals hard. We have 14 with it closing on the 16th. Hoping for increase in 12 days. Any efforts on your behalf is much appreciated. Review is at Westfield at 26th, thank you Allison and Jen for helping to coordinate. Notification on the 1st. Would like to attempt notifying presenters sooner instead of closer to the conference to get on website sooner to improve attendance. A couple of web issues but we've been able to work through them. Working through case study and finalizing that now and looking at judges (ACUHO-I rep, MACUHO rep and Josh recommended the keynote).

Residential Operations Committee Shannon Valverde
Shannon: Drive in was successful. With committee, focused on ideas/submissions for Annual.

Risk Management & Legal Issues CommitteeMichael Guthrie
Mike: For the record again, do I really need to be on the board or can this be phased out? This will be for further consideration; Webinars? One Day Drive in? Preconference? (no budget at this time)

Social Issues Committee.....Catherine Kellman
Josh: I have Cat's report. 25 people attended the retreat and sending out the assessment next week. Josh and several folks from Westfield were there. Wants to have a larger conversation about time of year of this conference. It could have larger attendance if moved.

Joe: Total number was 18 for conference. We took a -\$218.00 hit; Good to get this re-started.

Technology Chair..... **Stephany George**
Steph: Keeping up with events and working on updating/purging old material

Webmaster..... **Danni Perratto**
Not on Call

Assessment Coordinator **Heather Quire**
Not on Call

Communication Plan Task Force **Sonya Alexander**
Sonya: Nothing to add from previous report

Corporate Affiliate Review Task Force..... **Rene Coderre**
Rene: Nothing additional to report

Events & Offerings Task Force..... **Kohya Lu**
Kohya: Small update. Group is also compiling current list of events, target audience and beginning a brainstorm of topic areas. This will come out to board in coming weeks and those left out for recommendations

Para-professional Development Task Force **Matthew Lechter**
Matt: No other updates. There will be a phone call with the task force in coming weeks

Regional Affiliate Working Group..... **Beth Moriarty & Jon Conlogue**
Josh: Jon had to jet off the call but he said see you in June at Westfield.

Elected Officer Reports

CT/RI District Coordinator..... **Kimberly Beardsley**
Kim: Working with Nate in reaching out to members who haven't renewed. Had some members in CT/RI reach out about a social.

Eastern NY/VT District Coordinator **James Sherman**
Jim: No report

MA District Coordinator..... **John Zocco**
John: Sent out email with Survey link in the past week and tried to get more interest in program proposals and promoting the institute. Working with Nate to get more schools in Massachusetts to renew.

ME/NH District Coordinator..... **Trish Loring**
Josh: Trish had to leave as well.

Metro NY District Coordinator **AJ Goodman**
AJ: Made some contact with people to renew membership, haven't heard back but reaching out again. Other than that, sending a lot of emails out going to keep trying to get some new members.

Western NY District Coordinator **Darese Doskal**
Not on call

Secretary.....David Grimes

Nothing to report

Treasurer Thomas Kelley

Josh: Tkay has nothing to report.

Past-President.....Timothy Touchette

Tim: Elections went well. I reported on those early. Legacy fund, still strong, so strong that it hasn't changed from before. Anticipating good applications for Annual Conference and even for Spring Institutes. Talked to Steph about making sure it was on all applications moving forward. Spring line for legacy in preparation for Annual Conference, there will be 2 new items, you can re-donate. It won't be too crazy price wise. Hopefully it will bring a little more money into fund. I'm not telling what it is until closer to the Annual. Note for DCs, if you want to send any physical swag, Mike Hamilton has some. Trish has had success rate with sending them to community colleges.

President-Elect.....Shelly Keniston

Josh: Shelly had to jet off the call but said you'll know what she'll be working on.

President.....Joshua Hettrick

Last Regional presidents phone call had a conversation on timeline, creating a Mid-Level manager's institute, specifically targeted to those already in mind-level positions to develop skills sets around October 2017 Institute series. Encouraging regions to do more education round Title IX and VAWA. There are a lot of schools waiting for more information and how these are impacting campuses. Some are on standstill. Trying to get ACUHO-I on international focus on these issues. Will see more in next couple years. One thing for us to think about, SEAHO is talking about creating an endowment for their organization, 4 others have and trying to have a conversation with that board for what that means.

Meeting adjourned at 5:17 PM

E. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 5:17pm

F. Important Dates

1. RD2B, February 6, 2016 - Dean College
2. Annual Conference Program Proposals DUE, February 16, 2016 - Website
3. Annual Conference Program Review, February 26, 2016 - Westfield State University
4. Annual Conference Program Notifications, March 1, 2016 - Email
5. Regional Entry Level Institute Applications Due, March 1, 2016 - Website
6. Spring Institutes, March 25, 2016 - Worcester State University
7. E-Board Meeting, April 8, 2016 - Tompkins Cortland Community College
8. RD2B, April 9, 2016 - Tompkins Cortland Community College
9. Regional Entry Level Institute, June 1-3, 2016 - Rowan University (MACUHO)
10. E-Board Meeting, June 7, 2016 - Westfield State University
11. 2016 Annual Conference, June 8-11, 2016 - Westfield State University